

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/758,881	01/16/2004	Charles B. Dines	00746.P1US	6987
28778	7590 11/29/2004		EXAMINER	
	& STAINBROOK, LI	NGUYEN, SON T		
3558 ROUND BARN BLVD., SUITE 203 SANTA ROSA, CA 95403		203	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3643	
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/758,881	DINES, CHARLES B.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Son T. Nguyen	3643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address V				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.	January 2004.					
	s action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>	_					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7.9 and 10 is/are wi 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 January 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct.	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or awing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the contract of the contract o	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/18/04. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-9, drawn to a plant starter pot, classified in class 47, subclass
 65.5.
 - II. Claim 10, drawn to a method of performing an intact transplantation of a plant from a starter pot to another container, classified in class 47, subclass 73.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus can be used to practice another and materially different process. For example, the apparatus, starter pot, can be used in a method of growing a plant by regular planting without the need for the steps of transplanting the pot to another container or to the ground, providing base removing tool, placing the pot over the tool to insert studs into the base member holes; and turning the pot body relative to the base member to disengage the body from the base member.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3643

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: as shown in figs. 1-4.

Species II: as shown in fig. 5.

Species III: as claimed in claim 7 but not shown.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 3643

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. During a telephone conversation with Craig Stainbrook on 11/24/04 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-9, and then election of species I, claims 1-7. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 7,9 & 10 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention. Note, upon further consideration, although not shown but should be, the claimed subject matter of claim 7 refers to another embodiment, one which has perforations to be punctured so that the main body can be separated from the base member. This is much different to that of the threaded and sliding groove attachment methods as show in figs. 1 & 5. Therefore, claim 7 will not be considered by the examiner due to it being another embodiment.

Information Disclosure Statement

6. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/758,881

Art Unit: 3643

Drawings

- 7. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the 3rd embodiment as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 8. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the bayonet fitting, snap fitting, snaps, and clips that can be punctured must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Art Unit: 3643

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

9. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 7 of the specification, the description of the drawings for fig. 5 states a third embodiment; however, there is no third embodiment shown or discuss. The drawings only show two embodiments and they are shown in figs. 1 & 5. In addition, there is no second embodiment described, which the examiner believes that the third embodiment is actually the second embodiment instead. Appropriate correction is required.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/758,881

Art Unit: 3643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1,2,8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 3820749 (herein 749).

For claim 1, 749 teaches a plant starter pot, comprising: a main body 14 having an upper end with a first opening and a lower end (where ref. 20 is pointing at) with a second opening (the opening is created by the nails 28,30, or 32 puncturing the bottom), and an exterior surface; a selectively removable base member 22 having at least one bottom drain hole (the hole is created by the nail puncturing the bottom); base connection means (either ref. 21 or the snap fit of ref. 20 and ref. 22) for connecting said removable base member to said main body.

For claim 2, 749 teaches wherein said main body is frusto-conical in shape and defines a frustum, and wherein said base member is generally circular and has a geometric center (see fig. 1).

For claim 8, 749 teaches wherein main body is polygonal.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 3643

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

13. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 749 (as above) in view of US 4145841 (herein 841).

For claim 3, 841 teaches a plant starter pot comprising a base member 75 that includes a rim 71 having threads 73 disposed on the interior of said rim, and a base connection means 14,15 comprises threads disposed on an exterior surface of a main body 12 proximate a lower end and adapted for matable insertion into said rim of said base member. It would have been an obvious substitution of functional equivalent to substitute the connection method for the base member and the main body of 749 with the connection method as taught by 841, since both types of connection method would connect the base member to the main body.

For claim 4, 749 as modified by 841 (emphasis on 749) further teaches wherein said base member has at least two drain holes (created by the nails 28,30,32 puncturing the bottom), at least two of which are spaced substantially equidistant from said geometric center of said base member (see fig. 2 of 749).

For claim 5, 749 as modified by 841 (emphasis on 749) further teaches a base-removing tool 12,10,28,30,32, said base-removing tool comprising a generally planar bar 12 and having first and second studs 28,30,32 adapted for insertion in the holes of said base member.

14. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 749 (as above). It would have been an obvious substitution of functional equivalent to substitute the connection method for the base member and the main body of 749 with the

Art Unit: 3643

connection method with the base connection means is selected from the group consisting of bayonet fitting, snap fitting, snaps, and clips, since both types of connection method would connect the base member to the main body.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Son T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu from 10:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Poon can be reached on 703-308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Son T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3643

stn